# Consultation on Transposition of the Industrial Emissions Directive in Scotland.



## Response by Association for Organics Recycling

#### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Scottish Government has invited comments on its transposition of the Industrial Emissions Directive in Scotland.
- 1.2 This Directive "recasts" seven existing Directives: those on integrated pollution prevention and control (IPPC), large combustion plants, waste incineration, activities using organic solvents and three on titanium dioxide production.
- 1.3 This consultation details how the PPC regulations will be modified to incorporate the changes needed to transpose the Industrial Emissions Directive requirements.
- 1.4 Schedule 1 of the draft PPC Regulations sets out the requirements that apply to Part A(1) installations. These include:
  - The recovery, or mix of recovery and disposal of non-hazardous wastes (e.g. biowaste) with a capacity exceeding 75 tonnes per day involving biological treatment; and
  - Where the only activity is anaerobic digestion (AD), the capacity threshold for this activity shall be 100 tonnes per day
- 1.5 This means that some composting, AD and other biological treatment operators will fall under the IED regime and may face significant additional compliance costs.
- 1.6 IED will apply to all new installations from 7th January 2013; to existing installations previously subject to the seven Directives that IED replaces from 7th January 2014; and existing installations operating newly prescribed activities from 7th July 2015.
- 1.7 The consultation document, as well as a number of other documents including the draft regulations and an impact assessment on the requirements applying to Part A(1) installations can be found at: http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Publications/2012/09/4780/0
- 1.8 The Association for Organics Recycling is the United Kingdom's membership organisation, working on behalf of its members to raise awareness of the benefits of biological treatment processes and use of the outputs from such processes. The Association is committed to the sustainable management of biodegradable resources by promoting the benefits of composting and other biological treatment techniques for the enhancement of the environment, business and society.
- 1.9 The Association aims to act as an advocate for the wider biological treatment industry and to represent its views in a constructive dialogue with policy makers. It envisages

- an industry in which best practice is shared, standards are maintained and surpassed and which makes a positive contribution to safeguarding the environment.
- 1.10 The Association currently has about 350 members including compost and digestate producers, local authorities, consultants, technology suppliers, users of treated biodegradable materials, academics, other membership organisations and individuals.
- 1.11 The Association welcomes the above consultation and the opportunity to discuss any of the points raised in this response.

#### 2 General comments

- 2.1 In Scotland a wide range of practices within biowaste treatments currently exists. This variety allows flexibility and adaption to local cultural, social and geographical conditions. For example, in some rural areas a network of decentralised small- to medium- sized composting sites could suit the local geographical conditions and biowaste quantities arising better than a few large scale centralised facilities. It is therefore crucial that BAT conditions allow for flexibility and do not impose serious constraints on biowaste processes. One of the reasons that the UK biowaste industry has been so successful and has grown over the last 15 years has been as a result of the diversity of facilities and their ability to adapt to the fast moving economic and regulatory changes forced on them. If a high degree of prescriptive regulation is imposed on the industry it will lose its inherent ability to provide the current diversion rates as smaller producers will find the cost of compliance prohibitive.
- 2.2 With regard to composting, 75 tonnes per day corresponds roughly to 23,000 27,000 tonnes per annum (depending on whether the site is accepting wastes on Sundays or not). With regard to anaerobic digestion, 100 tonnes per day corresponds to 31,000 to 37,000 tonnes per annum. AfOR seeks clarification on how the 75 tonnes per day threshold would affect composting sites that treat less than 75 tonnes per day on certain days but more than 75 tonnes on other days; this is particularly relevant to our sector given the seasonality effect.
- 2.3 In addition, a proportion of the current composting sites in Scotland are likely to be affected and face significant costs as a result of the IED. Sites that are currently composting more than 25,000 tonnes per annum of green waste are likely to be regulated under the Waste Management Licensing Regulations, but will need to comply with IED by7<sup>th</sup> July 2015.
- 2.4 There is still a significant proportion of composting sites (62%) in the UK that are operating through open air mechanically turned windrow composting processes and it is unclear how these sites will be able to comply with the IED provisions, in particular with those related to monitoring emissions and associated emission limits.
- 2.5 The Scottish Government and SEPA are consulting on proposals for an integrated framework of environmental regulation which will likely supersede these draft PPC regulations. AfOR welcomes this consultation and highlights the importance that application and subsistence fees are maintained as near as possible to the current fees to limit the cost implications on the biowaste sector. Current regulatory constraints on the sector are already making compliance costs difficult for industry to bear given that margins have been eroded in recent years and capital costs for the sector are high particularly for enclosed technologies.

- 2.6 AfOR feels that it is essential that some standalone guidance for composting, AD and MBT that is specific to those processes is developed, particularly with regards to what is considered the Best Available Technique, to enable sites not currently under the IED to comply with the additional requirements.
- 2.7 Given the relevant of the process specific guidance, it is fundamental that the industry is consulted prior to its release. Within the biowaste sector there is a wide range of technologies which will all have differing issues which need to be considered and consulted on, the importance of this cannot be stressed enough.
- 2.8 Compliance with the provisions within such a guidance document should NOT lead to disproportionately higher costs. The current improvement in the UKs recycling rates is in no small part due to the inclusion of organics and the growth of this sector. Future investment and growth in no small part to surety of regulation and consistency of its subsequent application

## 3 Comments on specific questions

AfOR has replied only to those questions that are thought to be of more relevance to AfOR's members.

Q1 - Are you content with the proposal not to transpose the option for a single permit to cover several parts of an installation operated by different operators? If not, can you demonstrate from a real example that allowing a permit to cover several parts of an installation operated by different operators will reduce overall regulatory burden whilst maintaining the environmental protection required by the Directive?

AfOR agrees with this proposal

Q4 - Do you consider that, in particular sectors, further use of standard rules approach could be made?

AfOR supports the use of standard rules, as they save time and money to both the operator and the regulator. However, as already highlighted above, it is absolutely crucial that the industry is properly consulted before the release of any new standard rules and any new associated guidance documents. Such consultation should happen in conjunction with the proposed charges for the standard permits, so that in replying the industry can take into account cost implications. An appropriate transitional period is also important to allow time for any significant changes to be adopted by industry.

Q6 - Do you have views on how SEPA can encourage the development and application of emerging techniques?

It is important that any existing regulatory constraints do not prevent emerging technologies developing due to their narrow and prescribed formulation. There should be a mechanism in place which allows consideration of emerging technologies to fit into existing regulatory frameworks.

Q7 - Do you have any uncertainties about which waste management activities are now subject to IPPC requirements?

It is very important that the regulator **defines clearly what is meant by 'capacity per day'**. Currently permits and exemptions in Scotland are based on maximum annual permitted capacity (tonnes per annum) or maximum quantities treated at any one time

(tonnes). It is very important that clarity is provided on how the currently used units translate into maximum capacity per day. Just as an example, a site permitted to take a maximum of 75,000 tonnes per annum (equivalent to a maximum of 205 tonnes per day assuming 365 days in a year) may need to treat more than 205 tonnes in a day during peak time (e.g. in the summer months, when the quantity of grass cuttings is higher than in the winter months). How many days over a specified period of time does the composting operation would need to hit the 75 tonnes per day threshold before the operation falls under the IED regime?

The same considerations apply to the threshold of 100 tonnes per day relevant to anaerobic digestion.

Q12 – Are you content with the proposed approach to transitional provisions? Have you any comments upon this proposed means of incentivising permit applications in respect of new IPPC activities?

AfOR agrees with this proposal. However it is crucial that operators are provided with all the information they need (charges, finalised standard rules permits and associated guidance documents) long before they make an application so that they know exactly what the associated implications are. Given the operational and capital costs that may be associated with implementing the IED provisions and the time that may take implementing such provisions, operators should be provided with the exact charges and rules as soon as possible. In particular, details regarding the definition of BAT for our sector requires clarity. The devil will be in the detail and this will give a better understanding what these implications will be on our sector. The sooner we have this information the better in order that the full costs of implementation can be evaluated.

AfOR remarks that an effective way to encourage composting and AD operators to apply well before the required deadline is to set reduced application fees for those operators who make an application before the specified deadline i.e. 7<sup>th</sup> June 2014.

It is important that an appropriate transitional period is <u>also given to new installations</u>, which will need to comply with the Directive's provisions from 7<sup>th</sup> January 2013. This is particularly crucial, given that the relevant standard rules and guidance documents on IED compliance have not been made available as yet and the deadline is only 3 months away.

### **Contact details**

Jenny Grant, Scottish Branch Manager, The Association for Organics Recycling, Head office: 3 Burystead Place, Wellingborough, Northamptonshire, NN8 1AH. Tel: 07796 430168, E-mail: <a href="mailto:scotland@organics-recycling.org.uk">scotland@organics-recycling.org.uk</a>